TC-1 observations of flux pileup and dipolarization-associated expansion in the near-Earth magnetotail during substorms
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Fifty-three substorms measured by Double Star/TC-1 in the near-Earth magnetotail from July to October, 2004 are studied. The main features of these events are: (a) Magnetic flux pileup characterized by continuous enhancement of \( B_z \) is observed, which starts almost simultaneously with aurora breakup within 1–3 minutes, indicating that substorm onset is in close relation to flux pileup. (b) Sudden plasma sheet expansion with sharp increases in ion temperature and density is seen in all events, which occurs typically \( \sim 11 \) minutes after the beginning of pileup. The plasma sheet expansion is shown to be in close relation with the primary substorm dipolarization and, hence, can be referred to as ‘dipolarization-associated expansion’. (c) Evidence indicates that the substorm current wedge first forms earthward of TC-1 position and, hence, inward of the flow braking region, and then propagates tailward with an expansion in the Z-direction. Possible implications of these observations are briefly discussed. Citation: Zhang, H., et al. (2007), TC-1 observations of flux pileup and dipolarization-associated expansion in the near-Earth magnetotail during substorms, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L03104, doi:10.1029/2006GL028326.

1. Introduction

Magnetospheric substorms consist of a chain of processes responsible for the explosive release of the magnetic energy stored in the magnetotail. In the near-Earth neutral line (NENL) model [McPherron, 1991], the energy release was thought to be initiated and accomplished through magnetic reconnection (MR) in the mid-tail. On the other hand, the near-Earth current disruption (NECD) model considers that instabilities closer to the Earth lead to current disruption and trigger the substorm expansion phase [Lui, 1996]. The occurrence of mid-tail MR has been confirmed after the launch of Geotail [Nagai et al., 1999; Baumjohann et al., 1999]. The NENL paradigm has been improved [Baker et al., 1996] and updated [Baumjohann, 2002] since then.

2. Instrumentations

Data with 4 sec resolution from FGM, HIA and PEACE instruments on board TC-1 are used to investigate...
3. Case Study of Substorm on 17 September 2004

3.1. Overview of Observations

[6] Figure 1 shows the TC-1 magnetic field and plasma measurements in GSM coordinates. At ~01:16 UT, a sharp decrease in $B_z$ appears, while $B_x$ and $B_y$ start to increase. The ion thermal pressure $P_{th}$ and $\beta$ are continuously decreasing. About 11 minutes later at ~01:27 UT, a sharp decrease in $B_x$ appears, together with the beginning of oscillations of $B_z$ in the Pi2 frequency range. Meanwhile, $N_i$, $P_{th}$ and $\beta$ are continuously decreasing. About 11 minutes later at ~01:27 UT, a sharp decrease in $B_x$ appears, while auroral brightening is enhanced with time in concert with the plasma pressure. The magnetic field and key plasma parameters. The FGM samples the magnetic field vectors [Carr et al., 2005]. The HIA [Rème et al., 2005] and PEACE [Fazakerley et al., 2005] instruments are capable of obtaining full three-dimensional velocity distributions of ions, covering energy ranges from 5 to 32 keV/q, and electrons from 0.7 to 30 keV/q, respectively. The substorm auroral breakup (i.e. the expansion onset) is identified by the aurora observations with a time resolution of 120 sec from IMAGE/WIC, which selects the spectral range between 140 and 160 nm [Frey et al., 2004]. The interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) conditions are monitored by ACE/MAG.

3.2. Detailed Analysis

3.2.1. Evolution of Tail Configuration

[7] Evolution of tail configuration can be divided into three stages. Stage I develops from ~00:30 until 01:16 UT, during which time, the elevation angle $\theta$ keeps decaying and the magnetotail field becomes more tail-like. The IMF remains southward. The continuous decreases in $\beta$ and $N_i$ suggest a thinning of the plasma sheet. Stage II lasts from 01:16 until 01:27 UT in which $B_z$ increases and $\theta$ rises, for 11 minutes, from 1$^\circ$ to 20$^\circ$, while $B_x$ remains basically constant. $B_z$ is enhanced with time in concert with the increase in $N_i$. Note that during stage II, $N_i$, $P_{th}$ and $\beta$ continue to decrease, and TC-1 is sampling boundary layer plasma. Stage III begins with a sudden collapse of $B_z$ from 65 nT to 40 nT at ~01:27 UT along with a slight increase in $B_x$, which immediately causes the tail to become more dipolar than in stage II. In addition, $\theta$ rises rapidly with a jump of 15$^\circ$ from 20$^\circ$ to 35$^\circ$ in ~3 minutes. In the rest of stage III, from about 01:30 to ~02:17 UT, the tail basically remains in this dipolar shape.

3.2.2. Flux Pileup

[8] $B_z$ (and $B_x$) continuously enhances during the whole of stage II, while $B_z$ keeps nearly constant, indicating a field compression in the X-direction. We refer to this phenomenon as flux pileup. Meanwhile, $N_i$ continues to drop and $T_i$ maintains approximately constant with $T_{i,\perp} > T_{i,\parallel}$ (not shown in Figure 1). As a result, $P_{th}$ and $\beta$ tend to reduce. About 8 minutes prior to stage II, Cluster at X $\sim$ ~15.1 $R_E$ and Z $\sim$ 3.7 $R_E$ started to observe an earthward flow with $V_z \approx 300$ km/s on average (not shown in the paper). Since the flow at TC-1 remains small in this interval, it is inferred that the BBF was braking and piled up flux tailward of TC-1. Alternatively, it might also be possible that TC-1 missed the BBF owing to its high position to the plasma sheet. Nevertheless, we prefer to the former. Shiokawa et al. [1997] have shown that in most cases BBF was braking and piled up flux tailward of outside ~13 to ~15 $R_E$. While $B_z$, $B_x$ and $\theta$ are increasing, plasma is possibly squeezed out, $N_i$, $P_{th}$ and $\beta$ are then reduced. The phenomenon is similar to the sudden auroral brightening observed by IMAGE/WIC, and shows that the substorm expansion onset occurs at ~01:17 UT, which is marked on Figure 1 by the dashed vertical line. During this event, TC-1 was located at (~10.1, ~1.4, 1.0)$R_E$ (GSM) at post-midnight, while auroral brightening appeared at pre-midnight (~20–22 MLT).

Figure 1. TC-1 measurements of the 17 September 2004 event. From top to bottom are: the $B_x$ (thick) and $B_z$ (thin) component of the magnetic field; the magnetic field elevation angle $\theta$; $\Delta B_y$, the variation of $B_y$ to the background $B_{y,0}$ (~3.6nT); the $B_z$ variations in the Pi2 periods range (40–150 s); the magnetic pressure $P_B$ (thick) and plasma pressure (ions plus electrons) $P_{th}$ (thin); $\beta$ (the ratio of the plasma pressure to the magnetic pressure); the ion number density $N_i$; the ion temperature $T_i$; and the X-component of ion velocity $V_x$.

Figure 2. Auroral brightening observed by IMAGE/WIC in the event of 17 September 2004.
is/C24 remains almost all suddenly jump up, implying a quick expansion is found to change sign in most of the 53 events. L03104 b keeps decreasing and nearly constant in the Pi2 frequency range D b remains positive. The spacecraft is on the northern between 01:27 UT or suddenly jump up right at and immediately after the T is 3.6 nT. Figure 3. The superposed epoch analysis based on 53 events. From top to bottom are: Bx; Bz; Pi2 wave power in Bz; the plasma pressure P_i0 (solid line) and / (dash line); the ion number density N_i; and V_x, the X-component of ion velocity. The first vertical line (−11 min) marks the average time of aurora breakup, the dash line marks the auroral breakup (−9 min), and the third one (0 min) denotes the beginning of sudden decrease in Bx (DAE).

to the formation of the plasma depletion layer sunward of magnetopause, where particles are squeezed away from the high-magnetic-pressure region as the flux tubes convect toward the magnetopause. In fact, in the inner region of the depletion layer, the flow normal to the magnetopause is almost zero [Phan et al., 1994]. Flow braking may generate fast-mode waves [Shiokawa et al., 1998]. This may be the reason why oscillations of Bz in the Pi2 frequency range begin at the same time when the pileup starts.

### 3.2.3. Dipolarization-Associated Expansion

[9] We identify the rapid drop of Bz between 01:27 UT and 01:30 UT as dipolarization-associated expansion (DAE) at the TC-1 location. At the very start of the DAE, N_i, T_i, / and P_i all suddenly jump up, implying a quick expansion of the plasma sheet. The DAE is observed ~11 (10) minutes after the beginning of flux pileup (aurora breakup). A noticeable variation in Bz is also seen at the DAE. Before ~01:16 UT the background B_{wo} is 3.6 nT. B_{z} (B_z − B_{wo}) turns to be negative at 01:18 UT. A sudden reversal of /Bz from negative to positive occurs right at the DAE. Hereafter /Bz remains positive. The spacecraft is on the northern dawnside of the plasma sheet. In the frame of the SCW which is symmetric to the Sun-Earth line, negative /Bz indicates that the downward field-aligned current (FAC) is located at earthward and equatorward of TC-1, while a positive value implies the opposite. The changing of /Bz from negative to positive indicates that the front of the SCW is passing through TC-1 tailward [Lopez and Lui, 1990; Jacquey et al., 1991], with an expansion in the Z-direction. This implies that the primary dipolarization occurs initially inside the TC-1 location and moves tailward afterwards, which is consistent with the fact that the DAE is observed ~10 minutes after the aurora breakup. The local plasma sheet expansion at TC-1 is clearly associated with the primary dipolarization, therefore we refer to it as DAE. Moreover, right at and immediately after the DAE, a short-lived earthward flow with energetic and thermal ions lasting for ~3 minutes is detected, which manifests a common feature of DAE (see later in Section 4) and is believed to be produced by substorm acceleration at dipolarization [Shiokawa et al., 2005].

### 4. Statistic Study

[10] This section presents the statistical study of the 53 events. In 36 of these events, plasma data from HIA/TC-1 are available. For 16 events, usable IMAGE/WIC data can be obtained.

#### 4.1. Pileup and DAE

[11] In all 53 events TC-1 first observed a gradual enhancement of Bz and nearly constant Bx, followed by a rapid drop of Bz. A superposed epoch analysis based on all effective events is plotted in Figure 3, which shows that the average properties of pileup and DAE are similar to the characteristic features of 17 September 2004 event. The statistical results can be summarized as follows: (1) Pileup is observed almost simultaneously with aurora breakup within 1–3 minutes and ~11 minutes ahead of the DAE on average. (2) Oscillations of Bz in the Pi2 frequency range start just ahead of pileup, with maximum amplitudes appearing at DAE. (3) The average duration of DAE is about 2 minutes. (4) Among the 36 events for which the HIA/TC-1 data are available, there are 26 in which N_i is reduced during pileup. In 10 cases N_i remains almost unchanged. Besides, in most events / decreases slightly on average. (5) There are 29 cases in which N_i, T_i, and / suddenly jump up right at and immediately after the DAE. In another 7 cases either N_i or T_i rises. (6) In all 36 events earthward flows with speeds ranging from ~50 to ~500 km/s are measured right at the DAE, with a typical duration of ~3 minutes. Note again that in most events the MLI of TC-1 and auroral breakup are different.

#### 4.2. Bz Changes at the DAE

[12] /Bz is found to change sign in most of the 53 events. Table 1 presents the statistical results. It is seen that 40 events with bold numbers are in agreement with the fact that the SCW front, which is “symmetric” relative to both the central plasma sheet and the Sun-Earth line, is moving across the spacecraft tailward and expanding in the Z-direction right at the DAE. In 10 events the SCW fronts are probably not symmetric so that the opposite situations are obtained.

#### 4.3. TC-1 and Cluster Conjunction

[13] It is worthwhile to note that in 6 cases among 53 events studied, Cluster measured earthward BBFs prior
to or during TC-1 flux pileup; while in 5 cases Cluster observe DAE ~10–20 minutes after TC-1. For all these cases the azimuthal angles at the TC-1 and Cluster positions are not too far from each other (with $|\Delta Y_{gsm}| < 4 R_E$). Since BBFs and SCWs may often be localized in the azimuthal direction, it is not a surprise that not many Cluster/TC-1 conjunctions have been obtained [Nakamura et al., 2006].

5. Discussions and Summary

[14] Flux pileup is clearly seen in 53 substorm events studied in this paper, which is characterized by continuous enhancements of $B_z$ and $B_r$ with the trend of reduction of $N_i$, $F_{th}$ and $\beta$. This is similar to the situation that flux pileup near the subsolar magnetopause squeezes particles out of the compression region, leading to the depletion layer in the adjacent magnetosheath [Phan et al., 1994]. Pileup is observed almost simultaneously with the substorm aurora breakup within 1–3 minutes, indicating that substorm onset is probably in close temporal relation to flux braking and flux pileup. On the other hand, if pileup was observed simultaneously with DAE, the SCW would have already reached the TC-1 position, and the increase in $B_z$ would be due to FACs in the X-direction [Lopez and Lui, 1990]. Nevertheless, our observations seem not consistent with this argument.

[15] DAE is also observed at the TC-1 location, which is marked by a sharp drop of $B_z$ and sudden jumps of $N_i$ and $T_i$, manifesting a rapid expansion of the local plasma sheet. The DAE starts ~11 minutes after the beginning of pileup, implying that at least for the events studied, at the TC-1 location they are two distinct processes. It is likely that high-speed flows stop in the region tailward of TC-1, resulting in an earthward motion of compressed magnetic field and fast-mode waves propagating inward that yield compression of $B_z$ and the related oscillations in Pi2 frequency range. On the other hand, dipolarization originates earthward of TC-1. As the plasma sheet expands, the SCW front moves tailward, TC-1 then observes the drop of $B_z$, as well as jumps of $N_i$ and $T_i$ when the spacecraft is passing across the boundary to enter the plasma sheet.

[16] In 16 events during which the IMAGE/WIC data are available, IMAGE recorded the aurora brightening in the pre-midnight sector of the southern auroral oval, while TC-1 observations came from the northern/dawnside part of the inner-magnetotail. This is due to the fact that from 20 September to the end of October when the apogee of TC-1 moved to duskside, the IMAGE/WIC data were not available in many substorms. In such a situation accurate timing comparisons between the flux pileup/DAE and auroral breakup are difficult to obtain. Whether the inaccuracy in this aspect makes the results uncertain? Among the 16 events, the cases in which the MLT difference between TC-1 and auroral brightening is less and more than 1 hour are 5 and 11, respectively. Aurora breakups start ~2.2 minutes behind pileup for the former and ~2.7 minutes for the latter on average. No significant difference is seen. Meanwhile, in a half of events aurora breakup appears just on the westward side of TC-1 within 2 hours of MLT, which is expectable if the SCW forms in association with pileup. Furthermore, in the 5 and 11 events for which the MLT difference between TC-1 and auroral brightening is, respectively, less and more than 1 hour, DAE occurs ~8 and ~9 minutes later than aurora breakup, respectively. Again no significant difference is found. The SCW expands both radially and azimuthally during the expansion phase [Lopez and Lui, 1990]. If DAEs were essentially due to the azimuthal expansion, the spacecraft would see a clear jump in $B_z$. Both Figures 1 and 3 do not show this feature. The fact that the $B_z$ changes are consistent to dawn/dusk location of the satellite implies that DAEs may mainly be attributed to the radial expansion/tailward propagation of SCW, associated with an expansion in the Z-direction. In short, the main results of observations (i.e., substorm onset is in close relation to flux pileup and the SCW first forms earthward of the flow braking region) seem to be reliable, though in most events the MLTs of TC-1 and auroral breakup are seemly different.

[17] To understand the above results, we first recall the 3-D MHD simulation of substorm current wedge formation by Birn et al. [1999]. It is shown that flux braking maximizes at $X \approx -15 R_E$, while current diversion takes place mostly earthward of $X \approx -12 R_E$ in association with drastic reduction of the curvature drift current due to an expansion of the plasma sheet. Alternatively, flow braking might yield favorable conditions for instabilities to grow near the inner edge of the plasma sheet, which ultimately lead to dipolarization at substorm onset [Pu et al., 2001]. In addition, tailward flows of ionospheric origin are often observed by TC-1 prior to the expansion onset. It is suggested that the interaction of the tailward flows with earthward BBFs might also contribute to the substorm triggering [Liu et al., 2006]. Global/multiscale substorm initiation processes should be considered.

[18] In summary, 53 substorm events measured by Double Star/TC-1 from July to October, 2004 are studied. Magnetic flux pileup is directly observed in all events, which starts almost simultaneously, 1–3 minutes, with the aurora breakup, indicating that substorm onset is in close temporal relation to flux braking and flux pileup. DAE also occurs in all events, which is observed ~11 minutes after the beginning of pileup. There is evidence that the SCW first forms earthward of TC-1 and, hence, inward the flow braking region and then propagates tailward with an expansion in the $Z$-direction. The initial location, formation and propagation/expansion of SCW desire further studies.
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Table 1. Numbers of Events With Different Signs of $\Delta B_y$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position*</th>
<th>Total Numbers</th>
<th>$\Delta B_y &gt; 0$</th>
<th>$\Delta B_y &lt; 0$</th>
<th>Without Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North-Dawn</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North-Dusk</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-Dawn</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-Dusk</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TC-1 position relative to the central plasma sheet.
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